

Appendix B - Assurance and Priority Ratings

Assurance Levels

| Assurance Level | Definition |
|------------------------------|--|
| Substantial Assurance | There is a sound system of control in place to achieve the service or system objectives. Risks are being managed effectively and any issues identified are minor in nature. |
| Reasonable Assurance | There is generally a sound system of control in place but there are weaknesses which put some of the service or system objectives at risk. Management attention is required. |
| Limited Assurance | There are significant control weaknesses which put the service or system objectives at risk. If unresolved these may result in error, abuse, loss or reputational damage and therefore require urgent management attention. |
| No Assurance | There are major weaknesses in the control environment. The service or system is exposed to the risk of significant error, abuse, loss or reputational damage. Immediate action must be taken by management to resolve the issues identified. |

Recommendation ratings

| Risk rating | Definition |
|-------------------|---|
| Priority 1 | A high priority finding which indicates a fundamental weakness or failure in control which could lead to service or system objectives not being achieved. The Council is exposed to significant risk and management should address the recommendation urgently. |
| Priority 2 | A medium priority finding which indicates a weakness in control that could lead to service or system objectives not being achieved. Timely management action is required to address the recommendation and mitigate the risk. |
| Priority 3 | A low priority finding which has identified that the efficiency or effectiveness of the control environment could be improved. Management action is suggested to enhance existing controls. |